





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/737,752	12/18/2000	Kazuya Yoneyama	KAW-239-USAP	1362
7590 06/22/2004			EXAMINER	
Ronald R. Snider			YENKE, BRIAN P	
P. O. Box 27613			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Washington, De	C 20038-7613		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	9
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		O				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
, Office Action Comments	09/737,752	YONEYAMA, KAZUY	'A			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUNO DATE AND	BRIAN P. YENKE	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE	02 June 04.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7,8 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• ,	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• • •	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received nty documents have b u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper 5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 :	52)			

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02 June 2004 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of Aoki, US 4,971,436. In considering claims 1-2 and 8,

AAPA (Fig 7) discloses a projection system in which the light from source 101 is reflected by a plane reflective mirror 133 to projection unit 104. Projection unit 104 is

Art Unit: 2614

identical to the applicant's projection unit as described in the specification, which includes at least one dichroic mirror and a light valve element.

Regarding the use of a curve mirror to reflect the light from a light source.

Although, the use of a curved mirror is notoriously well known in the art, the examiner nonetheless incorporates Warner, which discloses that in an optical system, in which a designer may use a plane reflective mirror 33 along with a lens 34 (Fig 3b) or the designer may replace the mirror/lens combination by using a concave mirror 22 (Fig 3a). Thus Warner discloses a system which may utilize less parts by using a mirror (i.e. curved) which performs both functions of the plane mirror/lens combination.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify AAPA which discloses a projection system where the light source is aligned with reflective mirror and a condenser lens by using a curved (concave) mirror as done by Warner, which would provide the user/designer the ability to use less parts which perform the same function, and also providing the designer the ability to resize (i.e. compact) the device due to the reduced number of elements.

In considering claim 3 and 5,

Neither the combination of AAPA nor Warner disclose the use of a parabolic or hyperbolic mirror.

As stated above, Warner does disclose the use of a spherical/concave mirror.

Art Unit: 2614

It is also notoriously well known when using a curved mirror to reflect light, a variety of curved mirrors are available to the designer, including the claimed parabolic and hyperbolic mirror.

Thus the examiner takes "OFFICIAL NOTICE" in regards to a projection system which utilizes a parabolic or hyperbolic.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify AAPA and Warner which discloses the use of a projection system which includes a curved mirror to reflect the light from the source, by using curved mirrors such as parabolic or hyperbolic mirrors, which are readily available and conventional in projection systems.

In considering claim 7,

The claimed wherein said curved mirror is a spherical mirror is met where the mirror 22 is a spherical mirror (col 4, line 27-48).

In considering claim 11,

The claimed wherein a plurality of dichroic mirrors... is met by AAPA Fig 7 which includes a plurality of dichroic mirrors. Regarding the curved mirror refer to claim 1 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-10 as previously indicated are allowed.

Claims 4-6 as previously indicated are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure—please see newly cited references on attached form PTO-892.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (703) 305-9871. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (703)305-4795.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other

Art Unit: 2614

related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance

Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above
numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00
p.m. EST/EDT.

General information brochures can also be obtained in person from the Patent Search Room located in Crystal Plaza 3, Room 1A03, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA 22202.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS).

PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

B.P.**)** 17 June 2004 Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2614